Evaluation of starch-clay composites as a pharmaceutical excipient in tramadol tablet formulations
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Abstract

Background. Co-processing starch with clay nanocomposite has been shown to yield a new class of materials, potentially with better properties than pristine starch, that could be used as directly compressible excipients in tablet formulations.

Objectives. In this study, starches from 3 botanical sources, i.e., millet starch from Pennisetum glaucum (L) RBr grains, sorghum starch from Sorghum bicolor L. Moench grains and cocoyam starch from Colocasia esculenta L. Schott tubers, were co-processed with montmorillonite clay (MMT) and evaluated as a directly compressible excipient in tramadol tablet formulations. The effects of different starch-to-clay ratios on the material and drug release properties of the resulting tablets were evaluated.

Material and methods. The starch–clay composites were prepared by heating a dispersion of the starch in distilled water, then precipitating the dispersion with an equal volume of 95% ethanol. The starch-clay composites were characterized and used as direct compression excipients for the preparation of tramadol tablets. The mechanical and drug release properties of the tablets were evaluated.

Results. Co-processing MMT with the starches yielded starch-clay composites with different material and tablet properties than the pristine starches. The co-processed starch–MMT biocomposites exhibited improved flowability and compressibility over the pristine starches. The mechanical and drug release properties of tramadol tablets containing starch-clay composites were significantly better than those containing only pristine starches. The properties of the starch-clay composites were not related to the botanical source of the starches.

Conclusions. The study showed that starch-clay biocomposites could be used in the controlled release of tramadol.
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Introduction

In recent years, polymer-clay nanocomposites have received more attention due to their enhanced physicochemical and mechanical properties over the pure polymer systems.1–4 Biological nanocomposites have become a valuable addition to the existing nanocomposite materials that can be used to substitute petroleum-based composite materials in various applications due to their inherent biodegradability, availability and cost-effectiveness.4 Biopolymer-clay nanocomposites are prepared by adding low amounts of clay to the biopolymer matrix.5

Starch is one of the most abundant natural polymers that has become highly valuable due to its physical and chemical properties. However, native starch has poor compaction properties that have limited its application as a directly compressible excipient in tablet formulation.6,7 Co-processing starch with a clay nanocomposite has been shown to yield a new class of materials with the potential for more beneficial mechanical properties than the pristine material.8,9 The synergistic effect of starch and clay and the strong interfacial interactions (e.g., electrostatic and hydrogen bonding interaction) between the particles could improve the mechanical, swelling, water-uptake, thermal, drug-loading efficiency, and controlled-release behavior of the pristine biopolymer matrices.8 Montmorillonite (MMT) is one of the most commonly used natural clays that has been successfully applied in the preparation of nanocomposite systems.10–14 Montmorillonite is an aluminosilicate clay composed of tetrahedral layers of silica stacked between the octahedral layers of alumina.15 The isomorphic substitution of Al3+ for Si4+ in the tetrahedral layer and Mg2+ for Al3+ in the octahedral layer results in a net negative surface charge on the clay. Montmorillonite has a large specific surface area, and exhibits good adsorption, cation exchange and drug loading capacity.16 The individual crystals of MMT clay are not tightly bound, so water can infiltrate, causing the clay to swell and increase in volume when it absorbs water.3 Starch-MMT composite films have been shown to possess higher tensile strength and better water vapor barrier properties than films from pristine starch, due to the formation of an intercalated nanostructure.8

Recent studies have shown the potential of starches from different botanical sources to serve as excipients in tablet formulations.7,17,18 Native starches from millet (Pennisetum glaucum) (L) R Br, family Poaceae), sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench, family Gramineae) and cocoyam (Colocasia esculenta (L.) Schott, family Araceae) have been characterized and used as direct compression excipients in tramadol tablet formulations.19 One study revealed that the natural starches exhibited poor flowability and compressibility, which was not suitable for the preparation of tablets through direct compression. Therefore, in this study, millet, sorghum and cocoyam starches have been co-processed with MMT and evaluated as a directly compressible excipient for the formulation of tramadol tablets for controlled drug delivery to provide consistent pain control with reduced dosage frequency and improved patient compliance.20 The effect of different starch-to-clay ratios on the material and drug release properties were also evaluated.

Material and methods

Material

The materials used were tramadol hydrochloride (Banson Pharmaceuticals, Patiala, India), MMT (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA), dicalcium phosphate, polyvinylpyrrolidone (K 30), talc, and magnesium stearate (all from Ipza Pharmaceuticals, Patiala, India). Grains of millet (Pennisetum glaucum) and sorghum (Sorghum bicolor), and tubers of cocoyam (Colocasia esculenta) were obtained from local farmers in Ibadan, Nigeria. The plant parts were authenticated and starches were extracted from the relevant plant parts using established procedures.21 All other reagents used in the trials were of analytical grade.

Methods

Preparation of starch-clay composites

Starch-clay composites containing millet/sorghum/cocoyam starch and MMT in ratios of 1:0.5, 1:1, 1:2.5, and 1:5 were prepared by heating a dispersion of the starch in distilled water for 45 min on a hot plate and adding MMT. The dispersion was left on the hot plate (100°C) with constant heating and stirring for 4 h. The starch-clay mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and was precipitated with an equal volume of 95% ethanol and stored at 4°C overnight. The precipitate was filtered and dried in a hot air oven at 100°C for 5 h. The starch-clay composite was powdered using a laboratory mill and passed through a 60-mesh sieve, and then stored in an air tight container.

Characterization of starch-clay composites

Scanning electron microscopy

The surface morphology of the starch-clays composites was determined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM; Hitachi Model S 4300 SE/N SEM; Hitachi High Technologies, Singapore) at an accelerator potential of 10 kV. The samples were stuck on a specimen holder using a silver plate and then coated with palladium in a vacuum evaporator.

pH level

The pH of a 1% w/v water dispersion of the starch-clay composites was determined using a digital pH meter at 37 ±2°C.
Loss on drying
The starch-clay composites were weighed \( W_1 \) and heated in an oven at 100 ±5°C until a constant weight was achieved. The samples were cooled in a desiccator and then reweighed \( W_2 \). The percentage loss on drying (% LOD) was calculated using the following formula:

\[
LOD = \left( \frac{W_1 - W_2}{W_1} \right) \times 100
\]  
(1)

Effective pore radius
The effective pore radius was determined using the method of Goel et al.22 In brief, a micropipette tip (2 mL, transparent) was filled with a starch-clay composite and weighed \( W_1 \). N-hexane, whose surface tension (\( \gamma \)) is 18.4 mN/m, was poured dropwise on the bed top until the solvent filtered out at the bottom of the tip. The tip was reweighed \( W_f \) and the effective pore radius was calculated using the following equation:

\[
R_{\text{eff}} = \frac{W_f - W_i}{2\pi\gamma}
\]  
(2)

Swelling index
The initial bulk volume of the starch-clay composite in a 100-milliliter stoppered, graduated cylinder was determined. Water was then added in a sufficient quantity to produce a uniform dispersion. The sediment volume of the swollen mass was measured after 24 h. The swelling index was calculated as:

\[
\text{Swelling Index} = \left( \frac{V_2 - V_1}{V_1} \right) \times 100
\]  
(3)

where \( V_1 \) and \( V_2 \) are the volumes of the starch-clay composite before and after hydration, respectively.

Bulk and tapped density
The bulk density and tapped bulk density of the starch-clay composite was determined in a 250-milliliter measuring cylinder using an automated volumeter (Vardhan Works Pvt. Ltd, Pune, India). Measurements were made in triplicate according to the European Pharmacopeia.23

Flowability
The Hausner ratio and Carr index were used to determine the flowability of the starch-clay composites.24 The flow rate of the starch-clay composites was determined using a steel funnel on a Pharmatest flow rate apparatus (Sartorius Pharmatest; Apparatebau GmbH, Hainburg, Germany) with an orifice of 15 mm.

Attenuated total reflectance-Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
The starch-clay composites were analyzed using an attenuated total reflectance-Fourier transform infrared spectrophotometer (Alpha; Bruker, Yokohama, Japan). The samples were scanned in the spectral region from 4,000 cm\(^{-1}\) to 400 cm\(^{-1}\) using the KBr pellet method.

Formulation of tramadol hydrochloride tablets
Tramadol hydrochloride tablets were formulated with the direct compression method according to the formulæ provided in Table 1. Batches (100 g) of each formulation were prepared by mixing the specified quantity of each ingredient in a tumble mixer for 15 min. The blend was lubricated with talc and magnesium stearate, and the mixing was done for an additional 5 min. The tramadol tablets were compressed using a multi-punch tableting machine (AK Industries, Nakodar, India) fitted with 6.75-millimeter biconcave round die punches.

Table 1. Composition of tramadol tablets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>Weight per tablet (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tramadol</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch-clay composite</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyvinylpyrrolidone (K 30)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talc</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium stearate</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tablet properties

Crushing strength
The crushing strength of the tablets was determined using a hardness tester (Perfit, Coimbatore, India). The force required to break a tablet was determined diametrically, and the averages for 6 tablets were calculated.

Friability
The friability of the tablets was measured using a friabitator (Model 902; EI Product, Panchkula, India). Twenty tablets were weighed and rotated at 25 rpm for 4 min. The tablets were reweighed after the removal of fines, and the percentage of weight loss was calculated.

Disintegration time
The disintegration time of the tablets was determined using a United States Pharmacopeia (USP) disintegration apparatus (EI Product) in 900 mL of 0.1 N HCl (pH 1.2, 37°C).

In vitro dissolution studies
The in vitro dissolution time of the tramadol tablets was determined in 900 mL of 0.1 N HCl (pH 1.2) at 37 ±0.5°C using a USP XXIV dissolution apparatus II (DS 8000; Lab India, Pune, India) with a paddle stirring rate of 50 rpm. Aliquots (5 mL) were withdrawn at predetermined intervals and replaced with an equal volume of fresh medium. The samples were filtered through a 0.45-micrometer membrane filter and analyzed for drug content using
a double beam ultraviolet–visible (UV/VIS) spectrophotometer (Model 2202; Systronics, Ahmedabad, India) at 272 nm. The drug concentration was calculated and expressed as a cumulative percent of the drug released.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was carried out using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with GraphPad Prism® v. 4 computer software (GraphPad Software Inc. San Diego, USA). Tukey–Kramer multiple comparison tests were conducted to compare the effects of the excipients on the mechanical and drug release properties of the tablets. At a 95% confidence interval (95% CI), p-values less than or equal to 0.05 were considered significant.

**Results and discussion**

**Characterization of starch-clay composites**

The SEM image of the starch-clay composite shown in Fig. 1 indicates irregularly shaped particles which differed from the granular-shaped ones reported for the native starches. Studies have reported that 2 types of hybrids are formed in starch-MMT composites: intercalated hybrids and exfoliated hybrids. In intercalated hybrids, the extended polymer chains are present between the clay layers, resulting in a multilayered structure with polymer/inorganic layers at a repeated distance of a few nanometers. In exfoliated hybrids, the silicate layers are completely separated and dispersed in a continuous polymer.

![Fig. 1. SEM images of starch-montmorillonite clay composite (1:1) at different magnifications. (A) millet, (B) sorghum and (C) cocoyam](image-url)
The SEM suggests that exfoliated hybrids were formed with the clay completely dispersed in the starch matrix for a hybrid with completely different properties from the pristine starch.

The results of the physicochemical and material properties of the starch-clay composites presented in Table 2 indicate that the biocomposites varied widely in their properties. The pH of the biocomposites ranged from 5.2 to 8.3.

### Table 2. Material properties of the pristine starches and starch-clay composites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Starch-clay composite</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Loss on drying [%]</th>
<th>Effective pore radius</th>
<th>Swelling index [%]</th>
<th>Bulk density [g/cm³]</th>
<th>Tapped density [g/cm³]</th>
<th>Carr index [%]</th>
<th>Hausner ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Millet</strong></td>
<td>1:0</td>
<td>6.0±0.0</td>
<td>4.06±0.20</td>
<td>1.62±0.22</td>
<td>11.0±0.0</td>
<td>0.215±0.002</td>
<td>0.380±0.001</td>
<td>43.42±0.02</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:0.5</td>
<td>5.6±0.1</td>
<td>4.07±0.01</td>
<td>2.36±0.05</td>
<td>56.2±0.2</td>
<td>0.559±0.012</td>
<td>0.718±0.005</td>
<td>22.15±0.03</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>5.2±0.2</td>
<td>4.07±0.03</td>
<td>2.42±0.07</td>
<td>20.1±0.2</td>
<td>0.559±0.000</td>
<td>0.685±0.003</td>
<td>18.42±0.01</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:2.5</td>
<td>4.7±0.0</td>
<td>4.07±0.03</td>
<td>2.14±0.03</td>
<td>9.1±0.0</td>
<td>0.420±0.011</td>
<td>0.580±0.002</td>
<td>27.54±0.02</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>4.4±0.1</td>
<td>3.85±0.02</td>
<td>2.18±0.02</td>
<td>1.3±0.1</td>
<td>0.419±0.002</td>
<td>0.559±0.000</td>
<td>25.03±0.00</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sorghum</strong></td>
<td>1:0</td>
<td>6.0±0.1</td>
<td>4.07±0.02</td>
<td>2.09±0.02</td>
<td>17.1±0.3</td>
<td>0.268±0.001</td>
<td>0.439±0.002</td>
<td>38.95±0.00</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:0.5</td>
<td>8.3±0.1</td>
<td>8.02±0.22</td>
<td>2.39±0.03</td>
<td>367.3±0.2</td>
<td>0.514±0.002</td>
<td>0.665±0.011</td>
<td>22.72±0.01</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>7.4±0.0</td>
<td>7.98±0.02</td>
<td>2.31±0.04</td>
<td>100.4±0.0</td>
<td>0.471±0.009</td>
<td>0.628±0.015</td>
<td>24.99±0.02</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:2.5</td>
<td>6.0±0.0</td>
<td>7.96±0.14</td>
<td>2.10±0.09</td>
<td>17.2±0.2</td>
<td>0.377±0.003</td>
<td>0.595±0.003</td>
<td>36.66±0.01</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>5.4±0.1</td>
<td>7.02±0.04</td>
<td>2.09±0.22</td>
<td>3.3±0.5</td>
<td>0.353±0.002</td>
<td>0.565±0.000</td>
<td>37.47±0.02</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cocoyam</strong></td>
<td>1:0</td>
<td>6.8±0.1</td>
<td>4.07±0.03</td>
<td>2.09±0.02</td>
<td>17.2±0.2</td>
<td>0.317±0.022</td>
<td>0.513±0.004</td>
<td>38.21±0.01</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:0.5</td>
<td>7.4±0.0</td>
<td>6.01±0.01</td>
<td>2.35±0.01</td>
<td>220.0±0.2</td>
<td>0.580±0.001</td>
<td>0.685±0.004</td>
<td>15.40±0.02</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>7.7±0.0</td>
<td>6.00±0.02</td>
<td>2.27±0.01</td>
<td>56.1±0.1</td>
<td>0.538±0.004</td>
<td>0.628±0.003</td>
<td>14.28±0.02</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:2.5</td>
<td>7.8±0.0</td>
<td>5.33±0.15</td>
<td>2.15±0.06</td>
<td>9.1±0.0</td>
<td>0.397±0.021</td>
<td>0.580±0.008</td>
<td>31.58±0.03</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>7.9±0.0</td>
<td>5.70±0.03</td>
<td>2.06±0.03</td>
<td>4.3±0.2</td>
<td>0.377±0.002</td>
<td>0.538±0.002</td>
<td>29.99±0.00</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 2.** FTIR spectra of (A) millet, (B) sorghum and (C) cocoyam pristine starch and starch-clay composites: native starch (A), montmorillonite clay (B), 1:0.5 starch-clay composite (C), 1:1 starch-clay composite (D), 1:2.5 starch-clay composite (E), and 1:5 starch-clay composite (F)
to 8.3. The pH values generally decreased as the concentration of MMT in the biocomposite increased, except for the biocomposite containing cocoyam starch, where the pH increased. This indicates that the co-processing of millet and sorghum starches with MMT resulted in a more acidic biocomposite, while co-processing with cocoyam resulted in a more neutral pH. The loss on drying is used to evaluate the moisture content of pharmaceutical powders; the percentage loss on drying decreased with the concentration of MMT in the biocomposite. The maximum moisture content prescribed for safe storage by most starch-producing countries is 13% w/w, since higher levels of water can lead to microbial spoilage and subsequent deterioration in starch quality.\textsuperscript{27} The moisture content of all the biocomposites was within the specified limits for the proper storage of excipients. The pristine starches contain similar moisture content, while the biocomposites showed significantly higher (p < 0.05) moisture content than the pristine starches, except for the millet-MMT biocomposite, which did not demonstrate a significant increase in moisture content with an increase in MMT content.

The effective pore radius ranged from 1.62 to 2.42, with the values decreasing as the concentration of MMT in the composite increased, although there were no significant (p > 0.05) differences between the values. On the other hand, the swelling index of the starch/MMT composite at ratios of 1:0.5 and 1:1 were significantly (p < 0.001) higher than those of the pristine starch, while at a starch-to-MMT ratio of 1:2.5 or 1:5, the values were statistically significant (p < 0.05). There appears to be a limit to the concentration of MMT in the biocomposite mixture that would increase the swelling index of the composite. The degree of swelling also depended on the swelling index of the pristine starch, ranked as sorghum > cocoyam > millet. The starch-clay composites exhibited more wicking action than the pristine starches. Swelling power is not only a measure of the hydration capacity of a material, but it is also indicative of the associative forces in the granules.\textsuperscript{28}

The bulk and tapped densities of the starch-clay composites were higher than those of the pristine starch, but they decreased with an increase in the concentration of MMT in the biocomposites. The Carr index values and Hausner ratios generally decreased with an increase in the concentration MMT in the biocomposites. This indicates that the biocomposite exhibited better flowability and compressibility than the pristine starches. However, all the biocomposites showed Carr indices greater than 21 except the cocoyam-MMT biocomposite at ratios of 1:0.5 and 1:1. This indicates that co-processing starch with MMT improves the flowability and compressibility of starches, although the starch-clay composite cannot be said to be free-flowing.

The FTIR-ATR spectroscopy was used to analyze the interaction between the starches and MMT. Representative spectra for millet (Fig. 3) indicate that the pristine starch exhibited a broad band at 3600–3200 cm\textsuperscript{-1} due to OH groups in the starch molecules, C–H stretching at 2925 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, C=O stretching at 1640 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, CH\textsubscript{2} symmetrical stretching vibration observed at 1370 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, and C–C, C–O, C–O–C, and C–O–H stretching from 1350 cm\textsuperscript{-1} to 850 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. The peak at 897 cm\textsuperscript{-1} represents the saccharide group of the starch. On the other hand, the peak at 3619 cm\textsuperscript{-1} corresponds to the OH stretching vibration in MMT, the H–O–H bending of H\textsubscript{2}O is indicated by the peak at 1633 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, and the peak at 1134 cm\textsuperscript{-1} indicates the Si–O stretching vibrations, while the peak at 520 cm\textsuperscript{-1} indicates Si–O bending. The peak at 919 cm\textsuperscript{-1} corresponds to Al–O vibrations.\textsuperscript{9} The peaks around 3413 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, 1650 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and 1081 cm\textsuperscript{-1} show that the band of the starch overlapped with the bands of silicate, while at 1647 cm\textsuperscript{-1} the vibration band of silicate is unaffected. The soluble parts of the biopolymer containing OH and NH\textsubscript{3} may form a hydrogen bond with MMT and the amide group of starch visible in the range of 1200–850 cm\textsuperscript{-1} is due to the MMT. The vibration band at 1599 cm\textsuperscript{-1} corresponds to the deformation vibration of the protonated amine group in the biocomposite; this group is shifted towards the lower frequency value of 1517 cm\textsuperscript{-1} in the biocomposite, which further indicates electrostatic interaction between such groups and the negatively charged sites in the clay structure. The intensity of these peaks varied largely due to the concentration of MMT in the composite.

**Tablet properties**

The crushing strength (CS) and friability (F) provide measures of tablet strength and weakness, respectively.\textsuperscript{29} and are a measure of the ability of tablets to withstand
pressure or stress during handling, packaging, transportation, and subsequent use. The results (Table 3) showed that tablets prepared with starch-clay composites generally exhibited higher crushing strength and friability than those prepared with pristine starches. The nature of the starch used for the starch-clay composite did not have a statistically significant effect (p > 0.05) on the mechanical properties of the tablets. All of the tramadol tablets exhibited friability values of less than 1% w/w, which is within the pharmacopeia standards for compressed tablets. The CS-to-F ratio (CSFR) was used as a measure of the mechanical strength of the pharmaceutical tablets: the higher the CSFR, the stronger the tablet. The values of CSFR for the tramadol tablets indicates that the CSFR for tramadol tablets containing the pristine starches was generally higher than those of the starch-clay composites. This indicates that tablets made from the pristine starches exhibited more mechanical strength than those containing the starch-clay composites. The biocomposites containing sorghum starch exhibited the highest mechanical strength, while those containing millet starch exhibited the lowest values. Studies have shown that biocomposites can be considered a brittle or ductile material when the composite breaks with or without significant deformation under stress. Biocomposites that are brittle tend to break when subjected to stress without significant strain, but ductile composites deform before complete failure and tend to absorb energy before fracture. Thus, the biocomposites appeared more brittle than the pristine starches.

The disintegration time (DT) is regarded as the time required for the tablet to break into particles before dissolution occurs. The disintegration times for tramadol tablets containing the starch-clay composite are significantly (p < 0.05) higher than those containing the pristine starches except for cocoyam starch-clay composite at high-MMT ratios (ratios of 1:2.5 and 1:5), where the DT was lower. The strong interfacial interaction between the particles of the starch and clay yielded a starch-clay composite with a longer disintegration time. However, there is no clear-cut pattern regarding the effects of the clay concentration on the DT of the tablets. This suggests that the effect of the starch-MMT biocomposite is probably due to several interacting factors. The crushing strength-to-friability-to-disintegration ratio (CSFR/DT) was used to evaluate the balance between crushing strength and disintegration. A high CSFR/DT ratio indicates a better balance between the mechanical and disintegration properties of the tablets. The results show that tramadol tablets containing pristine starch exhibited a better balance than those containing the starch-clay composite, with the balance decreasing as the concentration of MMT in the biocomposite increased.

The amount of tramadol released was plotted over time; representative plots for the tramadol tablets containing millet starch-clay composites are shown in Fig. 3. The time for 50% and 80% drug release (t_{50} and t_{80}, respectively) derived from the plots are shown in Table 3. The results showed that the dissolutions times t_{50} and t_{80} generally decreased with an increase in MMT concentration. The DTs for tablets containing the biocomposites were significantly (p < 0.01) higher than those containing the pristine starches. There appears to be no clear-cut pattern as to which starch will likely form a more rigid biocomposite that could yield a longer dissolution time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Material</th>
<th>Starch-clay composite</th>
<th>Hardness [kg/cm²]</th>
<th>Friability [%]</th>
<th>CSFR</th>
<th>Disintegration [min]</th>
<th>CSFR/DT</th>
<th>t_{50}</th>
<th>t_{80}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Millet</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.3 ±0.0</td>
<td>0.48 ±0.02</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>16.50 ±1.02</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>20.0 ±2.0</td>
<td>57.0 ±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>3.5 ±0.0</td>
<td>0.49 ±0.01</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>29.70 ±2.00</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>50.0 ±0.0</td>
<td>240.0 ±3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>5.5 ±0.1</td>
<td>0.26 ±0.04</td>
<td>21.15</td>
<td>44.18 ±1.80</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>90.5 ±2.5</td>
<td>147.0 ±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>5.0 ±0.1</td>
<td>0.38 ±0.02</td>
<td>13.16</td>
<td>25.53 ±1.92</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>40.5 ±1.0</td>
<td>146.0 ±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.5 ±0.0</td>
<td>0.37 ±0.01</td>
<td>12.16</td>
<td>42.37 ±1.12</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>36.6 ±2.0</td>
<td>120.0 ±2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorghum</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.0 ±0.1</td>
<td>0.03 ±0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>16.6 ±1.02</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>16.0 ±1.0</td>
<td>70.0 ±2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>4.5 ±0.1</td>
<td>0.15 ±0.01</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>27.03 ±1.15</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>26.5 ±2.0</td>
<td>76.5 ±3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.8 ±0.2</td>
<td>0.27 ±0.01</td>
<td>14.07</td>
<td>26.63 ±1.22</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>46.5 ±2.0</td>
<td>192.5 ±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>4.3 ±0.0</td>
<td>0.33 ±0.03</td>
<td>13.03</td>
<td>30.48 ±1.12</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>48.5 ±1.5</td>
<td>260.0 ±2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5 ±0.1</td>
<td>0.54 ±0.01</td>
<td>10.19</td>
<td>43.30 ±1.02</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>40.5 ±2.0</td>
<td>147.0 ±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocoyam</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.3 ±0.1</td>
<td>0.12 ±0.01</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>19.0 ±2.01</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>32.0 ±1.5</td>
<td>110.0 ±2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>5.5 ±0.0</td>
<td>0.26 ±0.02</td>
<td>21.15</td>
<td>31.92 ±1.23</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>52.3 ±1.0</td>
<td>215.0 ±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>7.0 ±0.1</td>
<td>0.37 ±0.01</td>
<td>18.92</td>
<td>26.02 ±0.92</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>50.1 ±3.0</td>
<td>135.0 ±2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>5.0 ±0.3</td>
<td>0.38 ±0.02</td>
<td>13.16</td>
<td>18.80 ±1.62</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>39.5 ±2.0</td>
<td>125.6 ±2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5 ±0.0</td>
<td>0.51 ±0.01</td>
<td>10.78</td>
<td>16.65 ±1.96</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>26.4 ±1.0</td>
<td>170.0 ±1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CSFR – crushing strength-to-friability ratio; CSFR/DT – crushing strength-to-friability-to-disintegration ratio.

Table 3. Tablet properties of the pristine starches and starch-clay composites
Conclusions

The results indicate that the co-processing of MMT with the 3 tropical starches yielded starch-clay composites that differed from the pristine starches in both their material and tablet properties. Co-processing starches with MMT improved the flowability and compressibility of the native starches. The mechanical and drug release properties of the tramadol tablets containing the starch-clay composites were significantly better than those containing the pristine starches. There is no clear-cut pattern on the effects of the clay concentration or botanical properties of the starches. The starches from different botanical sources could be useful in the production of starch-clay composites for a variety of formulations depending on the mechanical and drug release profiles desired. The study showed that starch-clay biocomposites could be used in the controlled release of tramadol.
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